

**List of further Council proposed changes with no direct link to representations or MIQs**

<b>Change Ref.</b>	<b>DM DPD ref.</b>	<b>Pg ref<sup>1</sup>.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Proposed Change</b>
HBC_19	Policy DM20: Flood Risk Mngmt & Water Resources	74	<i>Additional modification:</i> Update footnote 85 to reference new EA app Flood Map for Planning (FMfP) <a href="https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/">https://flood-map-for-planning.service.gov.uk/</a>	EA launched new online service on 27 <sup>th</sup> February for this purpose. Link should be updated.
HBC_20	Guidance Note 10: Distances between development and trees	191	<i>Main modification:</i> The Council is proposing various changes to GN10 to improve the application and interpretation of the Guidance Note, including a new table structure and change in terms used in distance calculations. Even though these relate to a Guidance Note and not a Policy, the Council considers that due to the extensive nature of the changes they may be classed as main modifications.	The proposed changes have not arisen from any representations made to GN10. However Officers (Building Control and Trees and Woodland) wished to have greater clarity in the guidance note for application, and in relation to NHBC standards.
HBC_21	Footnote 12	21	<i>Additional modification:</i> Delete footnote completely	Refers inaccurately to District Centres (see amendments arising from IIQ-2 response), in any case footnote does not relate to paragraph where footnote is referenced from
HBC_22	Policies DM6 (Schools), DM20 (drainage and flood risk), DM32 & DM33 (Transport)	36, 75, 118 and 122	<i>Additional Modification:</i> Add reference to LCC Infrastructure and Planning report as a key document in the Policy context and relationships tables	The report describes how LCC will engage with and inform outcomes of the planning process as an infrastructure provider – addition therefore provides further clarity to applicants on how this aspect of development will be dealt with.
HBC_23	Policy GC2: Infrastructure, Planning Obligations and CIL	12 & 13	<i>Additional Modification:</i> Add reference to LCC Infrastructure and Planning report as a key document and supporting text setting out its purpose	The report describes how LCC will engage with and inform outcomes of the planning process as an infrastructure provider – addition therefore provides further clarity to applicants on how this aspect of development

<sup>1</sup> Page reference of the Publication version of the DM DPD

Hyndburn DM DPD Publication Version – List of additional Council proposed changes (post Submission)

Change Ref.	DM DPD ref.	Pg ref <sup>1</sup> .	Proposed Change	Reason for Proposed Change
				will be dealt with.
HBC_24	Guidance Notes	139	<i>Main Modification:</i> Delete final sentence of the introductory text on the status of the Guidance Notes ' <i>i.e. the GNs carry equal weight in any decision making process as the relevant policy itself</i> ' The Council considers that this may be a main modification as it relates to the status of the Guidance Notes in decision making.	To improve the soundness of the document. Whilst the Guidance Notes do form part of the development plan, they should not carry equal weight to policy text.
HBC_25	DM31: Waste Management in all new development	112	<i>Additional modification:</i> New paragraph to be inserted into the supporting text for Policy DM31, after paragraph 7.55.	To clarify the highway considerations that will be relevant for waste management in new developments. Criteria 2g of DM31 refers to roads however some policy supporting text is considered necessary to clarify this point and that unadopted highways may not receive direct on-site waste collections – this expands upon GN7 and the new paragraph refers back to the Guidance Note for further information.
HBC_26	GN7: Waste Management	172-176	<i>Main modification:</i> The Council is proposing various changes to GN7 to remove reference to specific costs and refer applicants to relevant places to find the most up to date information. Significant changes are also proposed around the information on waste containers and compounds in light of forthcoming changes to the waste management system in Hyndburn planned for 2018.  Even though these modifications relate to a Guidance Note and not a Policy, the Council considers that due to the extensive nature of the changes they may be classed as main modifications.	To ensure that GN7 aligns with the Council's new waste collection system planned for 2018, and that it remains future-proofed against further changes to waste management.

Hyndburn DM DPD Publication Version – List of additional Council proposed changes (post Submission)

Change Ref.	DM DPD ref.	Pg ref <sup>1</sup> .	Proposed Change	Reason for Proposed Change
HBC_27	DM11: Open Space Provision in New Residential Development	50	<i>Additional modification:</i> Amendment to footnote 52 to clarify that the Council intends to investigate and consider the adoption of local standards in the Site Allocations DPD	To clarify point 1a of Policy DM11 in that if local standards are prescribed then this will be through the Site Allocations DPD as it progresses
HBC_28	DM22: Heritage Assets	81	<i>Main modification:</i> A number of textual changes to strengthen paragraph 4 of DM22 are proposed. Paragraph 4 provides the policy approach for Locally Listed Buildings in Hyndburn.  As some of the amendments may serve to strengthen the protection of Locally Listed Buildings slightly, or add additional burden on developers (salvage and/or reuse to be 'proposed as a remedial action' as opposed to 'investigated'), the Council considers that these may be a main modification.	The proposed changes are intended to clarify and strengthen the policy approach in relation to Locally Listed Buildings in the Borough, in response to comments received from the Council's Conservation Officer.
HBC_29	DM23: Demolition of Buildings in Conservation Areas	89	<i>Main modification:</i> A number of textual changes to strengthen and clarify DM23 are proposed.  As some of the amendments may serve to strengthen the protection of Conservation Areas, the Council considers that these may be a main modification.	The Council's Conservation Officer felt that the policy lacked strength in relation to the NPPF. The proposed changes to paragraph 1 and 3 are therefore intended to strengthen and align the policy more with NPPF.  Changes relating to the policy title and supporting text (paragraph 6.54) are intended to clarify the scope.