

# Hyndburn Local Plan 2040

## Duty to Cooperate Compliance Statement addendum

### Contents

Introduction.....	2
Appendix 1: Duty to Cooperate Record of Engagement .....	3

## Introduction

Following the examination in public, the Inspector requested that Hyndburn Borough Council (HBC, *the Council*) provide further information on Duty to Cooperate meetings that took place between neighbouring authorities and statutory consultees.

The Council has previously submitted a Statement of Compliance with Duty to Cooperate ([HBC10.001](#)). This paper should be read in conjunction with this paper and the submission version of the Hyndburn Local Plan 2040 ([HBC1.001](#)). The Council has provided an updated Duty to Cooperate Record of engagement set out in Appendix 1 below.

## Appendix 1: Duty to Cooperate Record of Engagement

	<b>DtC Body</b>	<b>Method</b>	<b>Matters Discussed</b>	<b>Outcomes</b>
<b>Neighbouring Authorities</b>				
<b>Every 3 months – Lancashire DPOG</b>	All Lancashire district and unitary authorities	Meeting	Standard agenda items: Apologies and Introductions; Minutes from previous meeting; Lancashire County Update; Public Health Update; Update (all); and AOB	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>10/10/2016</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Email correspondence	Letter sent to all neighbouring authorities asking them to confirm in writing whether they consider there to be any unmet housing need that may influence a new housing requirement for Hyndburn.	Responses from each authority outlined in the relative sections below.
<b>February 2018</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Email correspondence	Regulation 18(1): HBC sent all neighbouring authorities notification of Core Strategy Policies scoping assessment; Core Strategy Review: Growth Options and Spatial Options; and Site Allocations DPD: Scoping and Site Assessment Methodology and how comments could be submitted.	Comments received from BwDBC and LCC. Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made. No other comments received from neighbouring authorities.
<b>February 2019</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Email correspondence	Regulation 18(2): HBC sent all neighbouring authorities notification of Core Strategy Review: Regulation 18 Consultation Draft; and Site Allocations	Comments received from BwDBC and LCC. Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made. No other comments received from neighbouring authorities.

			DPD: Regulation 18 Consultation Draft and how comments could be submitted.	
<b>December 2019</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Email correspondence	Regulation 18(3): HBC sent all neighbouring authorities notification of focused consultation on Gypsy and Traveller policy and site options consultation and how comments could be submitted	Comments received from LCC. Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made. No other comments received from neighbouring authorities.
<b>07/03/2022</b>	All neighbouring authorities  (Hyndburn gave apologies but later reviewed minutes)	Meeting	- LCC Ecology Update - BNG - Hot Food Takeaways Advisory Note (HFTAN) - Local Plan, Neighbourhood Plan, District and County updates (All)	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>13/06/2022</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Meeting	-Lancashire 2050 -Design Guides -First Homes -Local Plan updates	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>05/12/2022</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Meeting	- Updated Planning Guidance for Flood Risk and Coastal Change - BNG -- Local Plan, Neighbourhood Plan, District and County updates (All)	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>03/07/2023</b>	All neighbouring authorities  (Hyndburn gave apologies but	Meeting	- NERC Act Update - BNG	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also

	later reviewed minutes)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Update on Lancashire 2060 Plan</li> <li>- Local Plan, Neighbourhood Plan, District and County updates (All)</li> </ul>	used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>04/09/2023</b>	All neighbouring authorities  (Hyndburn gave apologies but later reviewed minutes)	Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- LLFA Update</li> <li>- BNG</li> <li>- Update on Lancashire 2060 Plan</li> <li>- Local Plan, Neighbourhood Plan, District and County updates (All)</li> </ul>	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>04/12/2023</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- District Level Licensing for Great Crested Newts – (Natural England)</li> <li>- Biodiversity Duty</li> <li>- Biodiversity Net Gain Update</li> <li>- LNRS Update</li> <li>- Levelling Up Regeneration Act 2023</li> <li>- Local Plan, Neighbourhood Plan, District and County updates (All)</li> </ul>	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>2022 and 2024</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Email correspondence	Regulation 19(1/2): HBC sent all neighbouring authorities notification of the Publication Local Plan (Strategic Policies and Site Allocations) and how comments could be submitted. Re-consulted on due to amendment to sites and procedural issues.	<p>Comments received from Burnley Council, queries the approach taken to HGV justification, employment and safeguarded land.</p> <p>Comments from BwDBC regarding sites EMP 4-6 which neighbours the Borough, asking for continued dialog regarding the highways mitigation and master planning. They state they are supportive of the plan period and housing / employment figures which are in line with the jointly prepared evidence. No other</p>

				comments received from neighbouring authorities.
<b>04/03/2024</b>	All neighbouring authorities (Hyndburn gave apologies but later reviewed minutes)	Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Social Value and Planning presentation</li> <li>- LNRS Update</li> <li>- BNG</li> <li>- impact of Serviced Accommodation (ie Air Bnb) and children's homes on housing supply</li> <li>- Local Plan, Neighbourhood Plan, District and County updates (All)</li> </ul>	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>19/10/2024</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Visitor Management study;</li> <li>- Onshore wind, Walshaw Moor proposal.</li> <li>- Final copy of the Memorandum of Understanding to review.</li> <li>Policy Updates inc. BNG, NPPF</li> </ul>	Memorandum of understanding to be reviewed and signed.
<b>02/12/2024</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Joint Lancashire Local Transport Plan update</li> <li>- Lancashire Growth Plan</li> <li>- Green Growth and Strategic Economic Recovery Update (EA)</li> <li>- Local Plan Updates</li> </ul>	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>03/02/2025</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Email	All neighbouring authorities sent an email requesting they sign a SOCG with HBC regarding the Local Plan.	<p>HBC requested that neighbouring Las either:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Sign and return this document on the relevant page for the organisation;</li> </ul>

				<p>b) Suggest an alternative wording for the signatory sheet or any of the draft strategic matter agreements if you believe a more appropriate form of words is necessary; or</p> <p>c) Contact us as soon as possible to discuss any concerns that you have that have not previously been highlighted to us or you believe are not covered adequately in the draft SoCG.</p>
<b>03/03//0205</b>	All neighbouring authorities	Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Joint Lancashire Local Transport Plan update</li> <li>- Lancashire Growth Plan</li> <li>-NPPF changes</li> <li>-Local Government restructure.</li> <li>- Local Plan Updates</li> </ul>	Sharing best practice/updates provided on authorities Local Plan progress/wider planning work/presentations from external organisations as and when relevant. Also used as a forum to discuss cross boundary, strategic issues as necessary.
<b>Blackburn with Darwen</b>				
<b>10/10/2016</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	Letter sent to BwDBC asking them to confirm in writing whether they consider there to be any unmet housing need that may influence a new housing requirement for Hyndburn.	Responses outlined below.
<b>17/06/2016</b>	BwDBC	DtC Meeting	<p>Local Plan updates.</p> <p>Evidence base</p> <p>Infrastructure</p>	Knowledge sharing, organisational updates

<b>21/10/2016</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	Response to HBC email dated 10/10/16 regarding unmet housing need.	BwDBC confirmed that as the BWD Local Plan Part 2: Site Allocations and Development Management Policies was adopted in December 2015, BwDBC does not consider there to be any unmet need within the Borough.
<b>21/03/2018</b>	BwDBC	DtC Meeting	<p>Local Plan Update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Hyndburn – consultation on Core Strategy Review, Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD) and Call for Sites</li> <li>- BwDBC – review of Core Strategy and Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan (Local Plan Part 2)</li> <li>-Evidence Base: Housing and Employment, Green Belt and Viability</li> <li>- Identifying any areas of joint working</li> <li>- Statements of Common Ground</li> </ul>	Agreed for BwDBC to prepare a brief for housing need evidence and share with Hyndburn to consider approach to joint working.
<b>09/02/2021</b>	BwDBC	DtC Meeting	<p>Separate DtC meeting held with BwDBC. Matters discussed included:</p> <p>Update on HBC Plan progress;</p> <p>Summary of BwD Local Plan;</p> <p>Key evidence base updates;</p> <p>BwD proposed Strategic Housing Site NE Blackburn and proposed strategic employment site at Junction 5 M65; and</p> <p>Duty to Cooperate issues.</p>	Agreed to undertake a refresh of the HENAS in light of Brexit and Covid to ensure figures are robust.

<b>16/11/2021</b>	BwDBC	DtC Meeting	<p>Local Plan update (overview, format, timescales)</p> <p>Key evidence base updates</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Joint – HENA</li> <li>- Joint – PPS / BSFS</li> <li>- BwDBC – Transport Study updates</li> <li>- BwDBC – potential Green Belt Compensatory improvements (M65 J5)</li> <li>- Hyndburn updates</li> </ul> <p>Statement of Common Ground</p>	<p>HBC agreed to keep BwDBC informed of progress on HBC Transport Study.</p> <p>BwDBC confirmed it would send HBC final BwDBC Local Plan transport study once received.</p>
<b>17/11/2021</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	<p>BwDBC email following meeting to respond to WSP/NH email dated (2/11) they don't believe there is any issue here worthy of further modelling work, however they are happy to share its Transport Study. If NH wish to pursue they will contribute in time but not costs.</p>	<p>HBC continue to work with BwDBC on cross boundary transport issues.</p>
<b>07/04/2022</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	<p>HBC submitted comments on the BwDBC Regulation 19 Publication Plan.</p>	<p>Confirmed the HENA provides a sound evidence base for the plan;</p> <p>Provided comments on site specific requirements for strategic sites H195 NE Blackburn Housing Site and E179 J5 M65 Strategic Employment Site. Also BwDBC Statement of Common Ground (SD16) outlines areas of agreement between two authorities on issues raised.</p>
<b>11/04/2022</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	<p>Email from BwDBC to ask for a short meeting to discuss timescales for replying to Reg. 19(1) consultation.</p>	<p>Telephone call arranged for two days' time.</p>

<b>11/04/2022</b>	BwDBC	Telephone call	Discussion regarding timescales and minor issues regarding Reg19(1).	BwDBC will prepare its response.
<b>15/12/2022</b>	BwDBC	In person meeting	Local Plan update – HBC Reg 19, BWDBC at examination stage.  Spatial Strategy  Green Infrastructure and Health  Gypsy and Travelling Showpeople Provision.	Acknowledged need to consider GI networks along canal and HBC to look at potential for Junction 5 development compensatory measures for BwDBC.
<b>10/06/2024</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	Email sharing information and requesting a meeting about Masterplan for land at Northeast Blackburn and the potential of an associated piece of land in Hyndburn to be used to deliver onsite BNG mitigation.	HBC agreed meeting to discuss further.
<b>11/06/2024</b>	BwDBC	Online Meeting	Meeting to discuss Northeast Blackburn Masterplan.  HBC Local Plan update.	HBC Ecology officer provided comments on plans and HBC will remain engaged with the process.
<b>03/02/2025</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	HBC emailed a draft SoCG to all neighbouring authorities	HBC request that LAs either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Sign and return this document on the relevant page for your organisation if you are happy and ready to do</li> <li>b) Suggest an alternative wording for the signatory sheet or any of the draft strategic matter agreements if you believe a more appropriate form of words is necessary; or</li> <li>c) Contact us as soon as possible to discuss any concerns that you have that have not previously been</li> </ul>

				highlighted to us or you believe are not covered adequately in the draft SoCG.
<b>14/02/2025</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	BwDBC express concern regarding the wording of the G&T element of the SoCG.	HBC to look at rewording section to provide clarification.
<b>27/02/2025</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	Email sharing proposed amendments to the draft SoCG and further clarification questions relating to transport and Gypsy and Traveller need assessment figures.	HBC provide a copy of the updated Gypsy and Traveller background paper setting out need in Hyndburn and propose new wording for the SoCG.
<b>06/03/2025</b>	BwDBC	Email correspondence	Email confirming BwDBC are content with the changes to the SoCG.	Signed SoCG between HBC and BwDBC.
<b>Burnley Council</b>				
<b>10/10/2016</b>	BC	Email correspondence	Letter sent to BC asking them to confirm in writing whether they consider there to be any unmet housing need that may influence a new housing requirement for Hyndburn.	Responses outlined below.
<b>02/11/2016</b>	BC	Email correspondence	Chasing email as no response received to the above.	N/A
<b>18/08/2017</b>	BC	In person meeting	Local Plan Updates HGV update Altham Business Park – discussed need for more development.	Knowledge sharing, organisational updates
<b>18/08/2017</b>	BC	Email correspondence	Chasing email as no response received to the housing need email.	N/A

<b>31/08/2018</b>	BC	In person meeting	Discuss BC recent Local Plan examination and impact / considerations for HBC.	HBC to consider update of qualitative assessments of existing employment sites.  Look at BC's HIA.
<b>24/02/2023</b>	BC	In person meeting	Local Plan update HBC and RVBC.  Strategic matters.  Potential joint working opportunities	Knowledge sharing, organisational updates  Agreed no significant strategic matters which effect both authorities.
<b>17/09/2024</b>	BC	Online Meeting	DtC meeting  -Local Plan Updates Inc. HGV  -SRN infrastructure including M65 J8,  -Green Belt Exceptional Circumstances – BC Representation to Hyndburn's Reg. 19 consultation  Future development land requirements – potential for joint working.  -HMOs and advice from BC on Art. 4.	HBC agree to send draft IDP to BBC  BC confirmed no objection to the plan as long as HBC decisions regarding strategic allocation Inc. HGV is fully evidenced and justified.  HBC agreed to clarify wording regarding land required for mitigation at Altham.  Discussed potential for joint working should future plan reviews tally.  BC gave update on functioning of HMO Art. 4.
<b>03/02/2025</b>	BC	Email correspondence	HBC emailed a draft SoCG to all neighbouring authorities	HBC request that LAs either:  d) Sign and return this document on the relevant page for your organisation if you are happy and ready to do  e) Suggest an alternative wording for the signatory sheet or any of the draft strategic matter agreements if you believe a more appropriate form of words is necessary; or  f) Contact us as soon as possible to discuss any concerns that you have

that have not previously been highlighted to us or you believe are not covered adequately in the draft SoCG.

<b>11/02/2025</b>	BC	Email correspondence	BC emailed to ask for meeting notes from the 17/09/24 meeting to be sent to them to help with response to SoCG.	HBC forwarded the meeting notes.
-------------------	----	----------------------	---	----------------------------------

<b>21/02/2025</b>	BC	Email correspondence	Email sharing concerns with the SoCG as drafted. This included concerns around justification for greenbelt release, amount of employment land release in the greenbelt and site selection process for strategic sites.	HBC and BC to continue preparing a SoCG which sets out positions of agreement and disagreement on the Local Plan.
-------------------	----	----------------------	--	---

**Ribble Valley Borough Council**

<b>10/10/2016</b>	RVBC	Email correspondence	Letter sent to RVBC asking them to confirm in writing whether they consider there to be any unmet housing need that may influence a new housing requirement for Hyndburn.	Responses outlined below.
-------------------	------	----------------------	---	---------------------------

<b>25/10/2016</b>	RVBC	Email correspondence	Response to HBC email dated 10/10/16 regarding unmet housing need.	RVBC responded that the Ribble Valley housing market area (HMA) is relatively self-contained and distinct from Hyndburn and Blackburn HMA.  They do not consider that there is any unmet housing need in Ribble Valley which would influence a new housing requirement for Hyndburn.
-------------------	------	----------------------	--	--

<b>15/03/2017</b>	RVBC	In person meeting	Local Plan Updates  RVBC - Housing and Economic Development DPD	Ongoing engagement with the HED DPD.
-------------------	------	-------------------	---	--------------------------------------

			Impacts of housing development on HBC's regeneration aims. Concerns over cumulative impact on Junction 7 of M65	
<b>11/09/2023</b>	RVBC	In person meeting	Local Plan update HBC and RVBC. Strategic matters. Potential joint working opportunities	Knowledge sharing, organisational updates Agreed no significant strategic matters which effect both authorities.
<b>15/04/2024</b>	RVBC	In person meeting	Local Plan update HBC and RVBC. Strategic matters. Potential joint working opportunities	Knowledge sharing, organisational updates Agreed no significant strategic matters which effect both authorities. Both LAs will look into joint working options particular in terms of Gypsy Traveller Accommodation Assessment, environment and landscape.
<b>03/02/2025</b>	RVBC	Email correspondence	HBC emailed a draft SoCG to all neighbouring authorities	HBC request that LAs either:  g) Sign and return this document on the relevant page for your organisation if you are happy and ready to do  h) Suggest an alternative wording for the signatory sheet or any of the draft strategic matter agreements if you believe a more appropriate form of words is necessary; or  i) Contact us as soon as possible to discuss any concerns that you have that have not previously been highlighted to us or you believe are not covered adequately in the draft SoCG.

<b>13/02/2025</b>	RVBC	Email correspondence	Email sharing concerns around agreeing to the wider Hyndburn strategy as appropriate, while noting they do not hold an in principle objections to the plan.	HBC provided amended wording to the SoCG to reflect RVBC concerns.
<b>28/02/2025</b>	RVBC	Email correspondence	RVBC send some suggested changed to SoCG.	HBC to review.
<b>05/03/2025</b>	RVBC	Email correspondence	Email confirming the changes to the SoCG.	Signed SoCG between HBC and RVBC.
<b>Rossendale Borough Council</b>				
<b>04/09/2015</b>	RBC	Email correspondence	HBC provided comments on Rossendale's draft Local Plan (Part 2) – Site Allocations and Development Management Policies	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Support for the plan meeting identified needs for growth</li> <li>2. Support for inclusion of the East Lancashire Cycle Network and</li> <li>3. Support (with conditions) for the inclusion of Wind Turbine Areas of Search subject to further clarification on the process</li> </ol> <p>HBC raised no objections.</p>
<b>10/10/2016</b>	RBC	Email correspondence	Letter sent to RBC asking them to confirm in writing whether they consider there to be any unmet housing need that may influence a new housing requirement for Hyndburn.	Responses outlined below.
<b>28/10/2016</b>	RBC	Email correspondence	RBC emailed a response to the HBCs letter (10/10/16) regarding unmet need.	Email conversation between the two LAs confirm that Hyndburn is not seeking assistance from nearby authorities for housing needs, and RBC is not expected to accommodate them. Unmet needs in

				Rossendale are being assessed in the SHMA and RBC further discussions will be had once this is completed.
<b>22/06/2017</b>	RBC	Representation	HBC sent representation to the Rossendale Local Plan consultation	Hyndburn raised no objections to RBC Local Plan. HBC said it would be open to have discussions regarding meeting any of RBC unmet housing need if desired.
<b>06/06/2018</b>	RBC	In person meeting	DtC meeting lead by Rossendale with all neighbouring authorities and statutory consultees invited.  RBC Local Plan update including discussion about its strategic matter including housing, employment, infrastructure, health and climate change.  Update on other strategic issues and DtC issues.	Focus on RBC. Knowledge sharing, organisational updates.
<b>16/07/2020</b>	RBC	Email correspondence	Email to confirm the findings of the Gypsy and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment.	HBC confirmed that due to its own requirement it is not in a position to be able to take the needs for Gypsy and Traveller provision from any other local authority.
<b>02/10/2023</b>	RBC	In person meeting	Local Plan updates.  Discussion on potential cross boundary strategic matters.	Identified that there are no significant cross-boundary issues in terms of housing, employment, GI, transport and biodiversity due to the geographies and strategic strategies of the Boroughs.
<b>05/03/2024</b>	RBC	Email correspondence	Email discussion regarding Reg 19(2) Consultation.	HBC send log of changes between the 2 Reg 19 docs to show changes are limited.
<b>03/02/2025</b>	RBC	Email correspondence	HBC emailed a draft SoCG to all neighbouring authorities	HBC request that LAs either:

- Sign and return this document on the relevant page for your organisation if you are happy and ready to do
- Suggest an alternative wording for the signatory sheet or any of the draft strategic matter agreements if you believe a more appropriate form of words is necessary; or
- Contact us as soon as possible to discuss any concerns that you have that have not previously been highlighted to us or you believe are not covered adequately in the draft SoCG.

<b>03/03/2025</b>	RBC	Telephone meeting	Phone call with RBC to discuss SoCG	Discuss SoCG minor issues and clarifications.
<b>04/03/2025</b>	RBC	Email correspondence	Following above call clarifying minor points, Rossendale returned the signed SOCG.	Rossendale return signed SOCG

### Lancashire County Council (LCC)

#### Lancashire County Council Minerals and Waste

<b>20/12/2018</b>	LCC Minerals and Waste	Email correspondence	LCC send map to show identifying which potential allocations overlap with either mineral safeguarding areas, or are in close proximity to an item of minerals infrastructure	HBC incorporated the details into its evidence base for Local Plan preparation
<b>02/08/2021</b>	LCC Minerals and Waste	Online Meeting	LCC Minerals and Waste Local Plan Update, matters include: - Safeguarding areas;	Knowledge sharing, organisational updates. SOCG to be discussed more as plans develop.

- Waste developments and minimisation;  
Growth forecasts; and SOCG.  
HBC Local Plan Update – growth, HGV and sites work.

**Lancashire County Council - Highways**

<b>11/02/2019</b>	LCC Highways	Email correspondence	LCC Highways provide details on phase 1 sites potential impact on highways.	HBC fed comments into the site appraisal process.
<b>04/05/2021</b>	LCC Highways	Email correspondence	LCC Highways provide details on phase 2 sites potential impact on highways.	LCC Highways provide details on phase 2 sites potential impact on highways.
<b>18/02/2022</b>	LCC Highways / WSP	Email correspondence	WSP (on behalf of HBC) LCC notification of the Local Plan Transport Study (V3) and requested comment.	N/A
<b>23-24/05/2022</b>	LCC Highways / WSP	Email correspondence	LCC provided comments and suggestions regarding the Transport Study. Comment was also provided on the Hare and Hounds junction which was subject to a separate Technical Note.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>19/02/2024</b>	LCC Highways	Email correspondence	HBC emailed LCC for clarification on potential highways works.	HBC asked if LCC has any planned works on A678 Blackburn Rd/ A6185 junction or Shuttleworth mead Junction.
<b>23/02/2024</b>	LCC Highways	Email correspondence	LCC respond to above email	LCC confirm there are no planned works at the above sites.

**Lancashire County Council - Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA)**

<b>13/11/2018</b>	Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA)	Email correspondence	LCC LLFA asked to provide details on phase 1 sites potential impact on flood risk.	N/A
<b>26/11/2018</b>	Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA)	Email correspondence	LCC LLFA asked to provide details on phase 2 sites potential impact on flood risk.	N/A
<b>02/01/2019</b>	Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA)	Email correspondence	LCC LLFA provide its assessment of Phase 1 and 2 sites.	HBC fed comments into the site appraisal process.
<b>29/07/2022</b>	Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA)	Email correspondence	LLFA response to the SFRA consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>18/01/2023</b>	Lead Local Flood Authority	Email correspondence	LLFA response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(1)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>02/01/2024</b>	Lead Local Flood Authority	Email correspondence	HBC outlines changes made to Policies SP2, EP3, EP4, HP3, HP10, HP11, and SP13 (and the general comments provided) in response to LLFA comments on Reg. 19(1) consultation.	HBC asks if LLFA will accept policy changes regarding site-specific flood risk which have been agreed by the EA on 21/11/2023 (see below).
<b>03/01/2024</b>	Lead Local Flood Authority	Email correspondence	LLFA email HBC to confirm approach set out in email dated 02/01/24 is acceptable.	LLFA and EA confirm approach to flood risk and sites is acceptable therefore objections removed and amendments made to Local Plan for Regulation 19(2).
<b>23/03/2024</b>	Lead Local Flood Authority	Email correspondence	LLFA response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(2)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>Lancashire County Council - Historic Environment Team (HET)</b>				
<b>12/06/2023</b>	LCC HET (Archaeology)	Email correspondence	LCC send a site allocation report in relation to the Historic Environment Record (HER)	The only site which has substantial concerns is EMP3 which could " <i>probably be dealt with satisfactorily within the planning process, so long as the potential need for preservation in</i>

				<i>situ is one that can be accommodated should it prove to be necessary”.</i>
<b>23/06/2023</b>	LCC HET (Archaeology)	Email correspondence	LCC sent a follow up email with a map of EMP3 and associated areas of potential archaeological interest.	Map shows various elements of archaeological interest including possible medieval moat site in contemporary fishing pond.
<b>19/02/2024</b>	LCC HET (Archaeology)	Email correspondence	LCC HET email HBC	LCC HET express concern that comments regarding a number of site allocations have not been accounted for in the Regulation 19(2) Local Plan.
<b>22/02/2024</b>	LCC HET (Archaeology)	Email correspondence	Following on from above email, LCC HET emailed HBC confirming that they had previously sent an assessment of potential archaeological interest of the Local Plan sites.	Email explains about half the sites are rated amber which means they would need an archaeological assessment prior to development. One site EMP3 is red as it has potential on the Lancashire HER and if found to be true may need to be removed from the designation which is much larger than needed.  LCC expect that this information will be incorporated into the Plan, but it is expected to be so prior to its submission to the Inspector.
<b>26/02/2024</b>	LCC HET (Archaeology)	Email correspondence	HBC email response to LCC HET	HBC apologise that communication between HBC and LCC HET was with a former employee and had been misfiled and not picked up by current staff. HBC confirm they will work with LCC to devise any necessary modifications once formal comments to consultation are received.

**Lancashire County Council - Education**

18/12/2024	LCC Education	In person meeting	Meeting to discuss LCC objection to Local Plan regarding school places for HGV.	Both parties discussed the issues regarding potential school expansion for HGV housing. LCC would like more flexibility and 2FE rather than 1.5. Issues regarding phasing and the fact that existing school is now an academy.
02/01/2025	LCC Education	Email	HBC email LCC wording for Policy SP2: Huncoat Garden Village (section 8f) – “f) Make provision for extension to the <u>future expansion of the</u> primary school at Huncoat so that it will accommodate <u>up to an additional 1.5 2</u> forms of entry, <u>as deemed necessary.</u> “	
08/01/2025	LCC Education	Email	<p>LCC emailed over suggested revised wording for Policy SP2: Huncoat Garden Village (section 8f).</p> <p>LCC now request that wording is added allow for a ‘new’ school rather than the expansion, which was previously formally agreed as part of the HGV Masterplan work.</p> <p>“Make provision for extension to the either <u>future expansion of the</u> primary school at Huncoat or provision of a new so that it will accommodate <u>up to an additional 1.5 2</u> forms of entry primary school, <u>as deemed necessary.</u> This will be delivered through planning obligations for all residential development in Huncoat Garden Village consistent with the requirements of the Lancashire County Council policy for a development of this scale and type.”</p>	HBC to review and then discuss internally with Mark Hoyle whom led on HGV Masterplan. Proposed future meeting towards end of month. HBC concerns around reasoning for increase in numbers. Agreement to prepare meeting.

			It should be noted that this request has been made after the Reg.19(2) consultation closed.	
<b>26/02/2025</b>	LCC Education	Teams meeting	Meeting to discuss new policy wording and objection. Further clarification on pupil numbers and reasoning behind LCC numbers and evidence around the request.	Following meeting HBC to follow up with queries around numbers. Agreement to work towards producing a SoCG setting out our respective positions.
<b>05/03/2025</b>	LCC Education	Email Correspondence	HBC request for further information on the pupil numbers in Accrington and requested further clarification on numbers requested based on information submitted. This is to support the preparation of the SoCG.	Email sent to help inform the development of the SoCG.
<b>06/03/2025</b>	LCC Education	Email correspondence	Response to information request by HBC dated 05.03.2025.	HBC noted the comments. HBC will consider the evidence presented and use this to inform the development of the SoCG with LCC Education.
<b>NHS Lancashire and South Cumbria Integrated Care Board (ICB)</b>				
<b>Mar 2018</b>	ICB	Consultation response	Regulation 18(1): HBC informed CCG/ICB of consultation.	No comments received.
<b>March 2019</b>	ICB	Consultation response	Regulation 18(2): HBC informed CCG/ICB of consultation.	No comments received.
<b>October 2023</b>	ICB	Email correspondence	ICB send S106 and CIL Policy to HBC.	HBC not this policy and will use it to inform the IDP.
<b>Feb 2024</b>	ICB	Email correspondence	Local Plan Regulation 19(2): ICB responded to consultation.	Primarily the ICB need to ensure that health care provision is sufficient in the area and will not be unduly impacted by any housing developments etc., because of the Garden Village plan. If it is impacted, we would like to

				express an interest in securing funding from the developers (S106).
<b>Nov 2024</b>	ICB	Email correspondence	HBC email ICB to request engagement regarding the preparation of a SoCG between the 2 parties.	No response received. Further consideration from the council that a SoCG between the two parties is not required.
<b>NHS Property Services Ltd</b>				
<b>March 2019</b>	NHS Property Services Ltd	Consultation response	Regulation 18(1): NHS responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>March 2024</b>	NHS Property Services Ltd	Email correspondence	The NHS response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(2)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>Environment Agency</b>				
<b>Mar 2018</b>	Environment Agency (EA)	Consultation response	Regulation 18(1): EA responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>14/11/2019</b>	Environment Agency (EA)	Email correspondence	Response from EA regarding HBC's phase 1 and 2 site allocations.	Where appropriate details fed into site sifting process.
<b>March 2019</b>	Environment Agency	Consultation response	Regulation 18(2): EA responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>31/01/2023</b>	Environment Agency	Email correspondence	EA response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(1)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made. EA raised an objection regarding certain sites which are at risk of flooding. EA suggest solutions including extra mapping and text or a SFRA2 on the effected sites.
<b>10/11/2023</b>	Environment Agency	Email correspondence	HBC email EA proposing a way forward to enable the EA to remove its objection to the Local Plan regarding flood risk.	HBC propose that avoiding flood risk by inserting site-specific policy wording to only locate development in low-risk areas within allocations the sequential test need not be applied. HBC requested further comment from the EA.

<b>21/11/2023</b>	Environment Agency	Email correspondence	EA respond to the above email confirming that as long as the provisions are clearly made it will remove its objection to the Plan.	The email states “As long as it can be made very clear in the site allocation, that no built development will be permitted in the areas of flood zone 3 on the sites, the approach proposed would be acceptable.” The EA go on to explain how this can be made clear in the Local Plan with use of map boundaries and text.
<b>25/03/2024</b>	Environment Agency	Email correspondence	Environment Agency’s response to the Regulation 19 consultation for the Hyndburn Regulation 19(2) Local Plan.	EA confirm it is satisfied that, with a revised map key and amendments to the map detail showing the areas of 0.1% or greater annual probability of surface and fluvial flooding, its outstanding concerns can be addressed. The EA request that they are shown the revised maps showing both surface and fluvial flooding prior to submission of the plan for Examination.
<b>Nov 2024</b>	Environment Agency	Email correspondence	EA confirmed they are happy with the maps and new wording proposed to be added in the Local Plan at Reg.22.	EA send over a formal response removing its objection to the Local Plan. This response will be submitted to the inspector to request a main modification to the plan at examination stage.
<b>Historic England</b>				
<b>Mar 2018</b>	Historic England	Consultation response	Regulation 18(1): HE responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>Mar 2018</b>	Historic England	Consultation response	Regulation 18(2): HE responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>04/04/2022</b>		Internal	Internal meeting to discuss David Morris’ (Heritage officer) initial heritage comments on Local Plan.	David Morris provided initial comments on proposed site allocations including archaeology.

<b>08/03/2024</b>	Historic England (HE)	Email correspondence	<p>HE a response to Hyndburn Local Plan: Regulation 19(2) (Pre-Submission) Version Local Plan Consultation.</p> <p>HE expressed disappointment at not being consulted on the previous iteration of the plan.</p> <p>Plan has lack of consideration of historic environment, HE recommend a screening exercise to assess which sites need HIA. HE offer support to achieve this.</p>	See future correspondence on HIA of the Local Plan
<b>08/03/2024</b>	Historic England	Email correspondence	<p>HE emailed a response to Integrated Assessment (IA) of Hyndburn Local Plan (Regulation 19)(2) consultation.</p> <p>Noted not informed of previous Reg19 consultation. Comments are largely noting that no assessment of historic environment taken.</p>	See future correspondence on HIA of the Local Plan.
<b>27/03/2024</b>	Historic England	Email correspondence	<p>Email from HBC to HE in response to Reg.19(2) consultation response.</p> <p>HBC apologise for oversight on not inviting HE to comment on Reg.19 (2) but explain it was a very similar plan to Reg19(1) which HE responded to.</p> <p>Also acknowledge HE comments regarding heritage screening exercise.</p>	HBC include a table with an initial list of sites which may be affected by heritage designations for HE comment. HBC also asks if HE would be agreeable to using the Sustainability Appraisal work as a form of screening.
<b>05/04/2024</b>	Historic England	Email correspondence	HE respond to HBC regarding HIA screening assessment.	HE confirmed SA is ok to use as base for initial screening but prefer the data is drawn out separately.

				<p>Agreed they are happy to comment once HBC completes screening exercise.</p> <p>HE also offer examples of good strategic heritage policy.</p>
<b>25/04/2024</b>	Historic England	Email correspondence	HBC emailed HE with update on HIA work. Confirmed meeting with Heritage consultant soon to discuss HIA and screening,	Asked advice from HE as to extent of screening exercise and whether it is acceptable to do this in house with HE advice.
<b>03/05/2024</b>	Historic England	Email correspondence	HE responded to HBC queries regarding screening.	HE suggest that HBC send them the screening report for comment before instructing a heritage consultant.
<b>01/10/2024</b>	Historic England	Online Meeting	Meeting with HE to discuss HIA and associated methodology.	<p>HE explained that it has some concerns regarding the methodology for the HIAs. HE say current HIA can be edited to be acceptable but there are fundamental flaws with the existing methodology around terminology. HE will send guidance document and best practice examples. HBC will refer back to consultants.</p> <p>HE also said a strategic element needs to be added to the Policy SP19, HBC suggested this might be best done via main mods by agreement of the Inspector. HE will send examples.</p> <p>HBC confirmed that a separate archaeological study has taken place and forms part of the evidence base.</p>
<b>24/10/2024</b>	HBC	Online Meeting	HBC meet with heritage consultant to discuss changes requested by HE.	HBC's consultant agrees to review the methodology and prepare a revised version of the HIA within a month.

<b>28/01/2025</b>	Historic England	Email correspondence	HBC emailed revised HIA methodology to Historic England for sign-off. Suggested amendment to site specific policies to include wording linking the policy to the HIA.	Historic England response with amendments to methodology. HBC to amend document in line with comments received. Site specific HIA's to be produced.
<b>27/02/2025</b>	Historic England	Phone Correspondence	HBC an HE discuss HIA site assessment. Amendments discussed to ensure methodology and assessment outcomes align.	HBC and HE agree changes. HE verbally suggest that the proposed changes would remove concerns over the HIA. Potential agreement for SoCG to be prepared if required by inspector for examination.
<b>Natural England</b>				
<b>Mar 2018</b>	Natural England (NE)	Consultation response	Regulation 18(1): NE responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>Mar 2019</b>	Natural England	Consultation response	Regulation 18(2): NE responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>18/01/2023</b>	Natural England	Email correspondence	NE response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(1)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>25/03/2024</b>	Natural England	Email correspondence	NE response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(2)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>Electricity North West</b>				
<b>15/01/2024</b>	Electricity North West	Initial engagement meeting	Agenda - ENWL Support for Local Authorities - Hyndburn BC decarbonisation plans and planned developments - Next steps	Knowledge sharing, organisational updates.
<b>15/01/2024</b>	Electricity North West	Email	Email from ENW following engagement meeting	ENW provided details of its forecasting, planning data and team web sites.

				Templates were also provided for HBC to provide details of planned developments / allocations.
<b>17/01/2024</b>	Electricity North West	Email	HBC response to above email	HBC provided details of proposed allocations and an indicative trajectory for them.
<b>01/08/2024</b>	Electricity North West / BwDBC	Online meeting	Update on ENW plans and strategies. Local Plan update.	Knowledge sharing, organisational updates
<b>March 2023</b>		Email correspondence	NH response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(1)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>March 2024</b>		Email correspondence	NH response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(2)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>National Highways</b>				
<b>March 2018</b>	National Highways (NH)	Consultation response	Regulation 18(1): NH responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>March 2019</b>	National Highways (NH)	Consultation response	Regulation 18(2): NH responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>02/11/2021</b>	National Highways / WSP	Email correspondence	Email from WSP to BwDBC and HBC to say NH wish to do modelling work on J6 to assess cross boundary impacts and would like co-operation from both authorities.	LAs agree to cooperation with the modelling. BwDBC agree to principle but request NH fund it.
<b>18/02/2022</b>	National Highways	Email correspondence	WSP (on behalf of HBC) sent National Highways notification of the Local Plan Transport Study (V3) and requested comment.	N/A
<b>24/06/2022</b>	National Highways	Email correspondence	NH responded to the Transport Study consultation with some technical queries and a request for further work regarding HGV and Junction 8 of the	WSP provided a Technical Note in response to NH's comments – see below.

M65 to better tie-together the WSP M65 J8 Study and Arcadis HGV Study.

<b>02/11/2022</b>	National Highways	Technical Note	WSP produced a technical Note to respond to the comments received from NH's in on the Transport Study Report. This was not passed on to NH at the time.	<p>The note addressed NH's technical queries and with regards to Junction 8 they propose to use the National Highways microsimulation model to undertake some additional scenario testing, using the most up to date information on the growth proposals (Local Plan site allocations, HGV and relevant committed developments).</p> <p>They also recommended that the requirement for a scheme at the A6185 Dunkenhalgh Way / A678 Blackburn Road crossroads is clearly set out within the Local Plan along with the approaches to delivery and funding.</p> <p>WSP also published version 4 of the transport study with NH comments taken into account.</p>
<b>17/01/2023</b>	National Highways	Email correspondence	NH response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(1). Please note the Transport Study (V4) was inadvertently not published in the evidence base.	NH responded with reference to the Transport Study v3 – as this was the only version they had seen. They also stated that they were awaiting a response to their previous comments (having not received the Technical Note - Oct 2022). NH reserved the right to comment further when they had seen additional evidence/assessment.
<b>Oct 2023</b>	National Highways	Email correspondence	Meeting with NH, HBC and WSP discuss the latest position.	Transport Study (V4) and the Technical Note (Oct 2022) were emailed to NH.
<b>20/12/2023</b>	National Highways	Online meeting	<p>Local plan update – Reg 19(2) consultation</p> <p>NH position on Transport Study – outstanding concerns regarding M65 J</p>	<p>Actions:</p> <p>- HBC to prepare and circulate draft policy wording re the mitigation of SRN impacts.</p>

7/8. Further detail requested but site specific wording not needed.

Junction 8 /HGV – further policy wording needed.

HBC confirm that HGV is contingent upon RIS3 funding being awarded / application for £30m of non- SRN infrastructure improvements applied for from Homes England.

NH confirm that Plan is unsound unless adequate policy safeguards and appropriate funding in place.

- WSP to provide and confirm the assumptions used when preparing the indicative cost estimate for the A678/A6185 scheme. HBC to confirm with LCC if scheme is in its program for completion.

	National Highways	Email correspondence	NH response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(2)	National Highways and HBC continue to work together to seek an agreed way forward in respect of overcoming risks associated with the reliance of the Local Plan on RIS funding to deliver the necessary infrastructure enhancements needed to the SRN to accommodate traffic growth associated with the Local Plan, particularly in respect of M65 Junction 8 and Huncoat Garden Village
<b>Dec 2024</b>	National Highways	Drafting	HBC prepare draft SOCG to present to NH at forthcoming meeting.	N/A
<b>10/02/2025</b>	National Highways	Email correspondence	HBC send NH a draft SoCG in advance of the meeting.	NH to review before meeting.
<b>11/02/2025</b>	National Highways and WSP	Online meeting	Meeting to discuss SOCG and NH objection to the Local Plan regarding funding for HGV transport improvements. NH discuss awaiting further news on RIS3 funding and	HBC an NH agree to awaiting outcomes of modelling work and further funding agreements. Iterative SoCG position to be prepared to outline NH supportive position but

			modelling work for Junction 8 of M65. Indicated without this it will be difficult to remove objection at this point.	with a reserved right to object if funding and delivery cannot be achieved.
<b>27/02/2025</b>	National Highways	Online meeting	Discussion on further modelling work at M65 Junction 8. Potential changes are feasible but require work to another junction which is in LCC highways control.	HBC and NH to work together to agree interim SoCG position. Both parties to work with LCC highways to discuss junction improvements at Shuttleworth Mead junction.
<b>28/02/2025</b>	National Highways	Email Correspondence	NH sent a draft wording for a letter providing some support for the proposals subject to comments from policy.	HBC note comments and await further wording for the SoCG and discussion on modelling outcomes.
<b>05/03/2025</b>	National Highways	Email Correspondence	NH send over draft changes to SoCG.	HBC considering wording.
<b>United Utilities</b>				
<b>March 2019</b>	United Utilities (UU)	Consultation response	Regulation 18(1): EA responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>March 2023</b>	United Utilities	Email correspondence	UU response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(1)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>March 2024</b>	United Utilities	Email correspondence	UU response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(2)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>The Coal Authority</b>				
<b>March 2019</b>	The Coal Authority	Consultation response	Regulation 18(1): EA responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>March 2023</b>	The Coal Authority	Email correspondence	CA response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(1)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>March 2024</b>	The Coal Authority	Email correspondence	CA response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(2)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.

---

**Canals and Rivers Trust**

<b>March 2019</b>	Canals and Rivers Trust	Consultation response	Regulation 18(1): EA responded to consultation.	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>March 2023</b>	Canals and Rivers Trust	Email correspondence	CRT response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(1)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.
<b>March 2024</b>	Canals and Rivers Trust	Email correspondence	CRT response to the HBC Local Plan Regulation 19(2)	Where appropriate amendments made to the plan to address comments made.

